

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/558,562	04/26/2000	Masahiro Ohishi	463P065	1512
7590 09/06/2002 Kevin S Lemack			EXAMINER	
Nields Lemack 176 E Main St	& Dingman		THOMAS, COURTNEY D	
Suite 8			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Westboro, MA 01581			2882	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)				
	09/558,562	OHISHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Courtney Thomas	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	August 2002 .					
/ ·	his action is non-final.					
2)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for forma	Il matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6 and 7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be noted in aboycines. See the drawing of the dr						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) vice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:				

Application/Control Number: 09/558,562

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Key et al. (U.S. Patent 5,241,360) in view of Ohtomo et al. (U.S. Patent 5,909,311), Inoue et al. (U.S. Patent 5,225,810) and Kitajima (U.S. Patent 4,922,281).
- 3. As per claim 1, Key et al. disclose a distance measuring system (abstract), for measuring distance by receiving a reflection light beam from an object to be measured, comprising a control arithmetic unit (i.e. control unit; see column 3, lines 25-27; Fig. 1 #30), a light emitting unit (i.e. Fig. 1, #22) for emitting a measuring light beam and a photo-detection unit (i.e. Fig. 1, #56; column 6, lines 48-51) for receiving said reflection light beam (i.e. Fig. 1, #42) from an object (i.e. Fig. 1, #38) to be measured, and for issuing a signal based on a photo-detection amount of said reflection light beam, and wherein there is provided presorted data that is obtained by associating the measured distance and the photo-detection amount of said reflection light beam according to said object to be detected, wherein said control arithmetic unit compares said reflection light beam from said object to be measured, as a result of distance measurement based on said reflection light beam and said presorted reference data.
- 4. Key et al. however, do not explicitly disclose: a) a measuring system configured to determine whether the object to be measured is a prism or a natural object based on the result of

Application/Control Number: 09/558,562

Art Unit: 2882

the comparison b) a storage unit and c) a mode changing switch. Examiner takes official notice that the use of displays are conventional tools available to the experimenter and would be obvious to the skilled artisan to incorporate for the purpose of viewing results, (i.e. graphs), real time analyses, experimental logic/ procedure and the like.

- 5. Ohtomo et al. teach an operability of an object detection scheme wherein a particular object is distinguished over another based on the receipt of a characteristic reflected light signal (see abstract; i.e. Fig. 1). In particular, Ohtomo et al. point out that in many object detection systems, a common difficulty is the inability to discriminate reflected signals from a particular object, and thus difficult to identify the presence of an object of interest (see column 1, lines 35-42). Ohtomo et al. teach a method of identifying/ determining the presence of an object of by way of configuring a system to be responsive to characteristics of reflected signals. Once the system is configured to sense a particular reflected characteristic, the object of interest can be accurately identified.
- 6. Inoue et al. disclose an apparatus comprising a storage unit configured to store values based on distance measurements as reference values to be used by a discrimination unit (abstract; column 1, lines 50-61; Fig. 7).
- 7. Kitajima discloses an apparatus comprising a mode switching mechanism (column 16, lines 11-16).
- 8. It would have been obvious to modify the system of Key et al., such that it was configured to determine whether the object to be measured is a prism or a natural object. One would have been motivated to make such a modification for the purpose of recognizing reflected light signals to accurately distinguish the presence of an object of interest amidst objects of non-

Application/Control Number: 09/558,562

Art Unit: 2882

been obvious to further modify the apparatus of Key et al. such that it incorporated a storage unit. One would have been motivated to make such a modification for the purpose of storing data based on distance measurements to be used as reference values for future comparisons as taught by Inoue et al. (Fig. 7; column 1, lines 50-61). Additionally, it would have been obvious to further modify the apparatus of Key et al., such that it incorporated a mode-changing switch. One would have been motivated to make such a modification for the purpose of selectively changing the operation characteristic of a device as taught by Kitajima (column 16, lines 11-16).

- 9. <u>As per claim 2</u>, Key et al., do not explicitly disclose an apparatus wherein the storage unit pre-stores an adjusting position of a density filter based on the distance to the object to be measured; the object to be measured is judged based on the position of the filter.
- 10. Inoue et al. disclose an apparatus comprising a storage unit configured to store values based on distance measurements as reference values to be used by a discrimination unit (abstract; column 1, lines 50-61; Fig. 7).
- 11. Ohtomo et al. teach an operability of an object detection scheme wherein a particular object is distinguished over another based on the receipt of characteristic reflected light signals (see abstract; i.e. Fig. 1).
- 12. It would have been obvious to modify the apparatus of Key et al. such that the storage unit stores the position of a filter based on a distance to an object to be measured and the object to be measured is judged based on the position of the filter. One would have been motivated to make such a modification for the purpose of using stored distance measurement values to

Application/Control Number: 09/558,562

Art Unit: 2882

determine differences between light signals reflected from an object to be measured as taught by Inoue et al. (abstract; column 1, lines 50-61; Fig. 7) and Ohtomo et al. (see abstract; i.e. Fig. 1).

- 13. As per claim 6, Key et al. disclose a distance measuring system, wherein there are provided at least a prism measurement mode and a non-prism measurement mode (i.e. column 5, lines 36-48; Fig. 3), and when said prism mode is selected, said distance is displayed on said display unit only when said object to be measured is judged as a prism, and the fact that said object to be measured is not a prism is displayed on said display unit when said object to be measured is not judged as a prism (see Fig. 2, and respective portions of specification).
- 14. As per claim 7, Key et al. disclose a distance measuring system wherein photo-detection sensitivity can be automatically changed over according to said photo-detection amount of said reflection light beam from said object to be measured, it is judged whether said object to be measured is the selected object to be measured according to said photo-detection amount, and a result of the judgment is displayed on said display unit (i.e. column 8, lines 33-39 and respective portions of the specification).
- 15. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Key et al. (U.S. Patent 5,241,360), Ohtomo et al. (U.S. Patent 5,909,311), Inoue et al. (U.S. Patent 5,225,810) and Kitajima (U.S. Patent 4,922,281) in view of Schreuder (U.S. Patent 4,646,092).
- 16. As per claim 4, Key et al., as modified in view of Ohtomo et al., disclose a distance measuring apparatus, except the reference data relating to the reflection of said object to be measured contains change of said photo-detection amount due to weather conditions as a tolerance value.

Application/Control Number: 09/558,562

Art Unit: 2882

17. Schreuder teaches that distance measuring systems often lack accuracy measurements due to the receipt of reflected light signals containing transmission noise and/or multi-path reflections. Schreuder further teaches that inaccuracies originating from multi-path reflections (i.e. propagation and/ or weather conditions) are in essence, unpredictable and can only be assessed on a statistical basis from experience (see column 4, lines 10-40). Schreuder teaches the use of a database of collected behavioral characteristics could refine the accuracy of signal information in lieu of ideal propagation conditions.

18. It would have been obvious to further modify the apparatus of Key et al. to include a reference database containing changes in photo-detection values due to environmental conditions. One would have been motivated to make such a modification for the purpose of obtaining distance measurements free from inaccuracies originating from changes in the light propagating environment as taught by Schreuder (column 4, lines 10-40).

Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 5,760,905 to Sasagawa – discloses a distance measuring apparatus utilizing a light reflecting object or natural substance.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Courtney Thomas whose telephone number is (703) 306-0473. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (9 am - 5 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305 3492. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/558,562

Art Unit: 2882

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0530.

Courtney Thomas

September 4, 2002

ADBERT H. KIM SUPERVISORY PATERIT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800